WADA HMR COMMITTEE

Date: June 18th, 2002

Location: Montreal

Attendance: Dr. A. Lunquist, Dr. C. Ayotte, Dr. G. Wadler, Dr. E. DeRose, Dr. T. Friedmann, Dr. B. Drinkwater, Dr. K. Muller,

WADA staff: Mr. C. Wade, Dr. A. Schneider, Mr. H. Syvasalmi, Mr. R. Pound

- 1. Arne Welcomed the group
 - Dick will be here (list update)
 - Code is a major priority
 - Meeting with Code in Lausanne with the IOC
- 2. Minutes accepted
- 3. Harri
 - Welcomed everyone
 - Office relocation
 - Staffing
 - Mentioned the Scientific Director
 - * request for his background / CV : yes, after the final decision

Klaus: Germany interested in hosting the World conference

Harri: send application of interest thru Klaus

Arne explained purpose of the World Conference

- 4. Arne: update on HMR Committee
 - Banbury Conference was a success
 - Ted: need ethics statements and support
 - Report: Transcripts will help, need to work on report (it will be difficult
 but need to do a publication)
 - Angela to review transcripts to draft Banbury Report and get feedback from Ted and Gary.
 - July 11th meeting
 - Governments: follow up action by governments to see what efforts can be undertaken

Dick:

- Must be careful when taking things off the list, do not want to give the perception of going soft, but also must be based on what objective science we have to make the assessment
- Transition issues: rule today vs. rule tomorrow, fairness issues.

Arne: we are not going soft, but concentrating on what there is evidence for and what is important

- It is very important to give a solid rationale for any changes, PR position is: <u>essential</u>

Stimulants: Comments on the footnote need to be modified (Ayotte) List of all athletes who are banned for pseudoephedrine: major PR issue

Ayotte:

- Scientific bases <u>for</u> what really works
- Substances are taken by athletes because they know or believe it works

Wadler:

- This was considered when drafting the list
- We can't perpetuate the problem with the past

De Rose

- We see things in the field that we always don't understand by science

Drinkwater:

- Do the studies reflect the dosages that athletes are actually doing
- We need to reflect reality

The Chair reinforced the need to show a united front when we agree by consensus

No matter what we do, we will be open to criticism

The Committee then spent some time reviewing the draft List tabled for the Committee

Ted: experiment evidence may be used

Bottom of page 2 (an evidence based approach): should present evidence in a "positive manner"

Ayotte: Comments to the List

- Caffeine: we should look at laboratory stats Levels? By sports?
- 100% monitoring of all substances taken off the list: can we get info from ATP?
- * from C. Ayotte

Beta-2 agonists: proposal approved

Arne - General:

If we're uncertain, let's keep it in for the IOC recommendation and continue our review for the 2004 WADA List.

Need to produce an understandable paper: what we've changed and/or removed and why

* Explanatory document is <u>essential</u>

Reviewed the definition of genetic doping:

- Issue of "unapproved". Need to check = Richard Young (ask Casey re: the status of the defn. in the Code)

WADA to approach company on obtaining products

Grant Overheads (Australia)

- No grant overheads

- Action: Where are we at?

Cuneo - Action: we should approve the Grant - be flexible

Van Breda: held up by Ethics Review, research done on human studies

* We need to check what letter went out Sonksen – Workshop to discuss growth factors: need to check this out However, WADA World Conference: we're are being abused: case closed – check with Olivier / if not, write to Sonksen

FIS/IBU study: Need to talk, as only Bengt can report – obtain data from FIS (Sarah Lewis or Besseberg)

Growth Hormone Factors Workshop

Need budget for Review process:

- Review
- Ayotte's ytime, etc.

August 21st: review deadline

Re: submissions - confirm with sources of financing

Send out recommendations (Ayotte and Arne) – late September = final decision

October 29th: next meeting